1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO	
2	EASTERN DIVISION	
3	IN RE:	Case No. 1:17MD2804
4	NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION	Cleveland, Ohio
5	OPIALE LITIGATION	August 5, 2020 1:04 p.m.
6		1.04 p.m.
7		
8		
9		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF STA	IUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS
11	BEFORE THE HONO	ORABLE DAN A. POLSTER,
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, AND	
13	DAVID A. RUIZ, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	Official Court Reporter:	Susan Trischan, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CRC 7-189 U.S. Court House
21		801 West Superior Avenue
22		Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-357-7087
23		Susan_Trischan@ohnd.uscourts.gov
24		
25		

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 3405 Filed: 08/07/20 2 of 20. PageID #: 497765		
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiffs:	Peter H. Weinberger, Esq. Hunter J. Shkolnik, Esq.
3		Salvatore C. Badala, Esq. Frank L. Gallucci, III, Esq.
4		Linda Singer, Esq. Donald A. Migliori, Esq.
5		W. Mark Lanier, Esq.
6		Steven J. Skikos, Esq. Troy Rafferty, Esq.
7		Joseph Rice, Esq. Paul J. Hanly, Jr., Esq. Paul Farrell, Esq.
8	For Walgreen Defendants:	· -
9		Kate Swift, Esq.
10	For CVS Defendants:	
11		Eric R. Delinsky, Esq. Sasha Miller, Esq.
12		Graeme Bush, Esq.
13	For HBC/Giant Eagle Defendants:	Robert M. Barnes, Esq.
14		Josh Kobrin, Esq. Scott D. Livingston, Esq.
15	For Defendant Discount	
16	Drug Mart:	Timothy D. Johnson, Esq.
17	For Rite Aid Defendants:	Kelly A. Moore, Esq.
18		John Lavelle, Esq. Greg Fouts, Esq.
19		
20	For Walmart Defendants:	Tina M. Tabacchi, Esq. John Majoras, Esq.
21		Tara Fumerton, Esq.
22	ALSO PRESENT:	Special Master David Cohen
23		
24	Proceedings recorded by m	
25	transcript produced by con	mputer-aided transcription.

1	WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 5, 2020, 1:04 P.M.	
2	THE COURT: All right. Judge Polster	
3	calling in. The access code got denied so I had trouble.	
4	THE CLERK: Okay. Judge, do you want me to	
13:08:17 5	list who I know, or do you just want to start?	
6	THE COURT: No, we'll start.	
7	Do you have a court reporter?	
8	THE CLERK: We do. Sue Trischan is on the	
9	line.	
13:08:17 10	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon,	
11	everyone.	
12	This is our monthly status conference call	
13	in Track One B, the case that's set for trial November	
14	the 9th against the six pharmacies.	
13:08:40 15	The Court has reviewed the status report.	
16	I've reviewed the jury questionnaire, made what changes	
17	in it I thought were appropriate. David Cohen has or	
18	will be sending that back to counsel today.	
19	Anyone who has any suggestions or	
13:09:08 20	modifications can certainly make them. I think that	
21	would probably be sent to the jurors probably early	
22	October, so we have a little time if there are any, any	
23	later developments.	
24	My plan is to ask our jury have our jury	
13:09:32 25	department send the initial questionnaire to several	

1 hundred -- I don't know how many hundred -- several 2 hundred prospective jurors at the end of this month, 3 simply asking for their availability and willingness to 4 serve as a juror for about one month starting November 9th. And we'll see what kind of response we get. 13:09:55 5 6 I think in Track 1 I believe they sent the 7 questionnaire, the initial questionnaire, to four or 500 people. I don't know if anyone remembers for sure. But 8 it was four or 500. 9 13:10:13 10 THE CLERK: It might have been 600, 11 actually. They had to do it a second -- they had to send 12 out another bunch of them. 13 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll discuss 14 with them how many they think they need to send out. 13:10:27 15 This time it may be more than that. But that's -- that's 16 my plan. 17 I'm working on how best to configure the 18 courtroom for the November 9th trial to address concerns 19 with COVID. 13:10:47 20 One of the things I'm doing, we need to 21 limit the number of counsel who are going to be in the 22 courtroom. I'm going to have the trial close-circuited 23 into two empty courtrooms in the courthouse. Last time 24 it was one. This time it will be two. 13:11:09 25 I believe -- I think counsel should arrange 13:12:51 25

13:12:29 20

13:12:10 15

13:11:27 5

13:11:49 10

to have the proceedings close-circuited into their respective war rooms. I know there were plans to do that in Track 1 last October. I don't think it ever happened. It wasn't a necessity then. I think it will be a necessity now.

So there's a company you work with, I think

So there's a company you work with, I think it's called Court Connect, and so I suggest both sides work with Court Connect to work that out, because I think we'll just have one counsel for each defendant and the client rep at the counsel table.

And I'm going to try and get six tables, and I don't know if we'll have one, one plaintiffs' table or two. We've got two plaintiffs, two counties, so we'd have one or two lawyers and two client reps, but I think that's how I'll do it.

As I mentioned last time, we should plan to have as many witnesses as possible testify by video; certainly out of town people.

Local witnesses, some of the Cuyahoga

County, clearly can appear in person, but I assume many
of the employees for the pharmacies are — they work out
of town; many of the experts are from out of town. I

would expect that virtually all of them will be
testifying by videoconference and so that should be
arranged.

I think from looking at the schedule most

of the -- most lawyers are close enough that they can

readily drive to Cleveland, either from the east coast or

Chicago. And since everyone's going to be here for more

than a month, you'll be in the same hotel room or

extended stay room, you don't have to worry about other

people coming in or out.

So I thought we ought to discuss if any --

So I thought we ought to discuss if any -- any counsel have any suggestions for things, other things we need to do or think about to make the trial safe, this is a good time to raise them.

MR. STOFFELMAYR: Judge, it's Kaspar Stoffelmayr.

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

13:14:29 25

13:14:14 20

13:13:54 15

13:13:35 10

We've obviously been discussing this among our group. You know, I think everyone is obviously very hopeful that by November things will look a lot different than they do today, you know, and everyone's certainly got a lot they can do in the meantime with pretrial deadlines, but, you know, like I'm sure the Court and everyone on the phone, you know, people have a lot of concerns about their safety, about everyone's safety, you know, being in a courtroom for, you know, several weeks on end.

We'll have staff we'll be asking to move to Cleveland, work out of hotel conference rooms and all

1 that.

So I think like everybody, there's just a lot of unknowns, and we're all going to, you know, press forward on the assumption that everything will fall into place, but obviously we want to keep discussing this with you.

THE COURT: Well, yeah, Kaspar, obviously that's true.

And our Court has not resumed jury trials. We had hoped, you know, back in June that we would start them at the end of August. When we met last, we determined we could not safely do that. The earliest we're going to have jury trials is the end of September, and we're meeting at the end of August, the end of this month, to make a decision whether we're going to resume them. I hope we can, but we'll make a collective decision.

So clearly our Court's monitoring things, as are Courts all over the country. I hope we will be able to do it, but no one can predict the course of this pandemic. Most predictions — a lot of predictions have been wrong. Sadly, there are a lot of people who aren't doing what they need to do to protect all of us, and you can't completely control that.

So we can just do the best we can and

13:14:40 5

13:15:09 15

13:15:27 20

13:15:46 25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

13:17:25 25

13:17:07 20

13:16:43 15

13:16:09 5

13:16:26 10

monitor things, and we're monitoring things daily, but if there are specifics, specific suggestions as to what we can do to make things safer, we'll try to do them.

I'm really looking carefully at the courtroom configuration. I'm meeting with some people next week, and working on that to see what we can do.

MR. LANIER: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LANIER: Mark Lanier.

One of the things that we have changed internally within our law firm and trial practice which, if given the opportunity, we'd love to talk to the appropriate people about is because of the social distancing that's happening in the courtrooms and with the juries, we've managed to acquire a flat screen TV, so it doesn't require a projector, that is 9-feet tall and 16-feet wide so that the world can see it. And it can go up against a wall at any height need be, which both parties or all parties would be able to use.

But at the right time, if this seems to be okay with you, we would talk to Special Master Cohen about whether or not that might be of use.

The reason I bring it to your attention right now is because you may dismiss it out of hand, or if I bring it to Special Master Cohen's opinion and we

Ţ	talk to him about it, it does require about three or four
2	different ports three or four plugs for electricity.
3	It's it uses electricity, and we'd want to make sure
4	it doesn't mess up everybody else in the courtroom and
13:17:40 5	courthouse.
6	But we've got a feature like that that will
7	make it very easy for the entire courtroom to see one
8	common screen, in addition to whether or not we have
9	smaller screens if we're able to put in various places.
13:17:54 10	So I just throw that out there, so if you
11	want to dismiss it offhand, I won't waste anybody's time
12	with it but
13	THE COURT: I'm not Mark, I'm not going
14	to dismiss it. No one should dismiss any suggestions out
13:18:07 15	of hand. No one's ever dealt with this before, so any
16	suggestion is potentially a good one.
17	So this would this would go against one
18	of the walls and so you'd have either documents or if
19	witnesses were testifying by videoconference they could
13:18:23 20	be they could appear on that large TV as well as
21	people's individual monitors.
22	Is that the idea?
23	MR. LANIER: Yes, Your Honor.
24	And my concern is if we've got a witness
13:18:40 25	and I've done a few dozen well, not a few dozen

1 I've done over a dozen witnesses by live video 2 transmission at trial before, and one of the biggest 3 challenges is always to have the witnesses and documents 4 big enough for people to read on one common screen. And so what we can do with this is, I mean, 13:18:51 5 6 it's high definition, it is -- you'd want to watch the 7 Superbowl on it. I mean, it's an incredible screen, but it will be big enough for everybody in the courtroom to 8 see not only a witness in live video, but also a document 13:19:08 10 very clearly next to the witness. 11 And so it's got an ability to do that quite 12 well. And we'll send the specs on it and description to 13 Special Master Cohen and to the defendants and the tech people for the defendants so that everybody can start 14 13:19:24 15 planning and looking toward it, if it is a viable option. 16 THE COURT: All right. If you can do that 17 promptly, because I'm -- I'm having a meeting with some 18 people actually next week, next Tuesday I think, to 19 really take a hard look at my courtroom and what's -- and 13:19:45 20 what's feasible and doable and safe. 21 MR. LANIER: It will be done by tomorrow. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. DELINSKY: Your Honor, this is Eric 24 Delinsky on behalf of CVS. 13:19:58 25 THE COURT: Yes, Eric.

1	MR. DELINSKY: To reiterate what
2	Mr. Stoffelmayr said, I think it's fair to say that the
3	safety of the Court, its staff, the jurors, and the
4	counsel and our staff and the witnesses obviously is the
13:20:18 5	most imperative concern here.
6	The concern obviously is real and we don't
7	know where it will lead us. And without suggesting that
8	other concerns are more important than the safety
9	concern, I will just say that
13:20:52 10	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, you're kind of
11	muffled. I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
12	(Record read).
13	THE REPORTER: And I couldn't it was all
14	muffled after that. I couldn't understand.
13:20:57 15	MR. DELINSKY: I apologize.
16	I would just like to flag some other
17	issues, Your Honor, and perhaps get the Court's guidance
18	on how to proceed, if we should proceed now at all
19	because, frankly, then they would just be premature.
13:21:11 20	But, number one, there is somewhat of a
21	tension between proceeding as safely as possible yet
22	simultaneously allowing the parties to present the most
23	effective case and have the effective assistance of
24	counsel.
13:21:33 25	Having only one attorney in the courtroom

1 during complicated examinations by way of example, Your 2 Honor, could be extremely difficult and, frankly, 3 untenable. 4 And likewise, presenting our entire case virtually through virtual witnesses presents fairness 13:21:50 5 6 concerns as well that could be exacerbated if more of one 7 side's witnesses are available to safely testify in 8 person. 9 I don't have the solution to these issues 13:22:11 10 now, Your Honor, and I don't even know if they'll 11 manifest. We still have a few months to go. 12 But I did want to flag that, that we do 13 have these concerns about being able to present our 14 defense -- and I'm speaking for CVS now -- in a fair 13:22:27 15 fashion that enables us to put on a fair presentation. 16 I'd like to raise one other issue as well. 17 THE COURT: Let me -- let me -- let me just 18 stay -- let me stay with one issue at a time, the first 19 one. 13:22:44 20 We're all having to deal with something 21 we've never had to deal with, and it's imperative that 22 Courts be able to start having trials. And we haven't 23 had any jury trials in our Court since March. 24 We've been doing everything else necessary 13:23:06 25 virtually. We're going to have to start having trials.

1 They're going to be different than the trials in the 2 past. All right? 3 So everyone's going to have to make certain 4 concessions. It's important if this case isn't settled 13:23:20 5 6 that it be tried, and it will be tried. And if I feel I 7 can try it safely on November 9th, that's when it will go. 8 9 You know, I thought one counsel for 13:23:38 10 defendant at a table and one, one client rep. I'll look 11 carefully if the tables may be big enough to have two 12 lawyers and one client rep. They are pretty big tables 13 so, you know, maybe we can have two lawyers and a client 14 rep, and I'll look carefully at that when I go in next 13:23:58 15 week. 16 Obviously any witness who wants to come, 17 you know, is free to come. I'm not -- I mean, anyone can 18 come in live. They're free to come. But I don't want 19 anyone to have to fly if they feel it's unsafe. 13:24:16 20 Obviously they can drive. 21 And I don't think coming in and out of the 22 courtroom is going to be a big problem, but that's just 23 the reality, and everyone's going to have to deal with 24 it. 13:24:29 25

Obviously we're monitoring the pandemic and

particularly how it's going in Ohio. That's the main 1 2 issue. But everyone's going to have to make adjustments. 3 Everyone's been making adjustments since March, and we're going to have to be making adjustments for a long time. 4 That's just -- that's the new reality. 13:24:48 5 6 Okay. What was your second issue? 7 MR. DELINSKY: The second issue, Your Honor, and perhaps it's equally premature, is the issue 8 9 of school closures. 13:25:06 10 If I'm reading the papers right, 11 that's -- that's going to have a significant impact on 12 our jury pool, and in candor, Your Honor, it's -- at 13 least on myself it's going to have a very Draconian 14 impact. It's -- we don't know where this is going, 13:25:25 15 16 Your Honor, but again I just wanted to flag these issues, 17 and I want to ensure that at the appropriate time we'll 18 have the opportunity to talk to you again about them. 19 THE COURT: Well, you can raise it now, 13:25:45 20 Mr. Delinsky. 21 I would think that our jury pool's 22 obviously going to be affected by the pandemic and it 23 will be for a long time. All right? 24 There are going to be people over 65 who 13:26:01 25 are very reluctant to go into any sort of a gathering.

1 Okay? And they would have been fine to go into a 2 gathering and be on a jury last February. Well, they're 3 not this November. That's a reality. 4 There are going to be people who have young kids who aren't going to be in school and will not be 13:26:22 5 6 able to be on a jury. That's a reality. 7 Okay. It's not going to be easy finding twelve people, but we'll find them. We'll find twelve 8 9 people who are willing and able to serve. 13:26:44 10 I don't think anyone wants jurors who 11 literally have to be arrested to serve. Yes, I can make 12 anyone serve as a juror. I'm not about to make someone 13 serve as a juror who's genuinely concerned about their 14 safety. No one would want that person as a juror, no one 13:27:04 15 on the plaintiffs' side, no one on the defendants' side 16 and the Court wouldn't. 17 Again, this is the reality we're in. Who 18 knows when people are going to be able to feel safe 19 traveling. Who knows when schools will be open? 13:27:24 20 I don't believe that justice and trials can 21 stop until we have all those answers, and I'm not about 22 to stop it, so everyone should plan that we're going to 23 try this case November 9th. 24 Is there anything else? Any, any other 13:27:51 25 issues that anyone wants to bring up about how --

1 suggestions so that we can try the case as safely and 2 effectively as we can? 3 All right. Well, anyone is welcome to make 4 those suggestions to me or Special Master Cohen anytime. 13:28:21 5 You don't have to wait until our monthly status 6 conferences, because we're feeling our way through this 7 and dealing with it as best we can. Anything -- is there anything else? There 8 9 weren't any other issues really that seemed to be fronted 13:28:42 10 for -- that needed discussion from the joint status 11 report, but are there any other issues that are sort of 12 ripe for me to weigh in on now or that you think are 13 coming that I ought to get ready to deal with? 14 Okay. I don't seem to hear any. 13:29:10 15 I guess the last thing is the Court's 16 curiosity. I've had zero communication either way with 17 the mediator, Judge Gandhi, and I don't plan to. But I'm 18 interested to know if those discussions are ongoing, if 19 they're active, if you seem to be getting anywhere. 13:29:38 20 I certainly don't want any details, but I 21 am interested to know if the discussions are ongoing and 22 if you seem to be making any progress. 23 MR. WEINBERGER: Judge, this is Pete 2.4 Weinberger. I'll respond to that question. 13:29:56 25 We have had multiple conversations with

1 Judge Gandhi. 2 One was a joint Zoom meeting with the 3 defendants, and the others have been caucus meetings with 4 each side. The last one that the plaintiffs had was 13:30:17 5 6 about 10 days ago, and so I would say that the appropriate description is that we are having ongoing 7 discussions. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. Thanks, 13:30:38 10 Pete. 11 Anything -- anyone from the defendants who 12 want to elaborate? Again, I don't want any details, but 13 I'm just -- I'm glad that you're meeting with Judge Gandhi. 14 13:30:50 15 MS. TABACCHI: Your Honor, this is Tina 16 Tabacchi from Jones Day. 17 I'll just add that we do continue to engage 18 with Judge Gandhi, and the pharmacies met with him last 19 week by video. 13:31:03 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, 21 I'm -- you know, we're three months from trial. That's a 22 long way, but we're getting closer. 23 So I believe it's in the interests of the 24 plaintiffs and in the interests of the defendants to 13:31:23 25 resolve this case. If you don't, we'll have the trial.

But one trial is just one trial.

13:33:47 25

13:33:15 20

13:32:26 15

13:31:44 5

13:32:09 10

We've got thousands of cases around the country, Federal Court and hundreds in State Court, and no one can try all these cases. And I remain convinced that a resolution is preferable to that, but so I would encourage both sides, as we get closer to this trial, to be willing to make the kinds of compromises that would be necessary to achieve a global settlement.

And Judge Gandhi has a very good reputation, and I think if anyone can do it, he can, but it requires hard work by both sides.

So I urge both sides to work hard and make some compromises that would be necessary.

Okay. Is there anything else that anyone,
I guess, wants to say other than I guess we should
set -- set a time for our next meeting. And we've
generally been going about a month out, so that would
be -- well, we could look maybe around September 3rd.
Let's look.

Well, we could do it 1:00 o'clock on Thursday, September the 3rd.

I figure about a month is right. Does everyone agree that about a month is -- makes sense?

If anyone thinks we should do it sooner, we can do it sooner.

1	MR. STOFFELMAYR: Judge, Kaspar.
2	I think approximately a month makes sense.
3	We're in constant contact with Special Master Cohen on
4	day-to-day issues.
13:34:05 5	THE COURT: All right. Well, do plaintiffs
6	agree, Mr. Weinberger, Mr. Lanier?
7	Does a month, roughly a month, sound okay
8	to you?
9	MR. WEINBERGER: Your Honor, this is Pete.
13:34:18 10	Yeah, that's fine.
11	MR. LANIER: Yes, sir.
12	THE COURT: All right. If something comes
13	up and we need one sooner, then we can, you know,
14	schedule it, but how about Thursday, September 3rd at
13:34:31 15	1:00 o'clock?
16	MR. WEINBERGER: That's fine, Judge.
17	MR. LANIER: Thank you.
18	THE COURT: And then we'll follow the same
19	protocol. I guess we'll get a we can get a joint
13:34:44 20	status report noon on Monday, August 31st.
21	Okay. Well, thanks, everyone.
22	And I hope you and your families continue
23	to stay healthy and safe, and we'll move forward on this.
24	MR. WEINBERGER: Thank you, Judge. Same to
13:35:11 25	you.